

Jonathan Evans (Cal. Bar # 247376)
Omonigho Oiyemhonlan, *law student* (student practice application approved)
CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY
1212 Broadway, Suite 800
Oakland, CA 94612
Phone: 510-844-7118
Fax: 510-844-7150
Email: jevans@biologicaldiversity.org

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs Center for Biological Diversity and
Center for Environmental Health*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY
and
CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL
HEALTH

Plaintiffs,

vs.

ANDREW R. WHEELER, in his official
capacity as Administrator of the United States
Environmental Protection Agency,

Defendant.

Civ. No.

**COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE AND
DECLARATORY RELIEF**

(Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 7401 *et seq*)

INTRODUCTION

1. This action is brought under the federal Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 7401 – 7671q, and seeks to compel the Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (“EPA”) to carry out his outstanding legal obligations to: (1) promulgate a Federal Implementation Plan (“FIP”) addressing the 2006 National Ambient Air Quality Standards (“NAAQS”) for particulate matter 2.5 micrometers or less (“PM_{2.5}”) for the Yolo-Solano Air Quality Management District; (2) take final action either approving or disapproving the contingency measures incorporated in the Portola Fine Particulate Matter (PM_{2.5}) Attainment Plan submitted by the state of California for the Portola region of Plumas County; and (3) take final action on 49 individual plan elements submitted by the state of Arizona to implement the 2008 8-hour ozone NAAQS for the Phoenix-Mesa region.

2. Soot and smog air pollution have profound effects on human health. Soot (PM_{2.5}) contributes to premature mortality, aggravation of respiratory and cardiovascular disease, decreased lung function, and visibility impairment. EPA has found that short and long-term exposure to smog (ozone) can result in “enhanced respiratory symptoms in asthmatic individuals, school absences, and premature mortality.” 73 Fed. Reg. 16,436, 16,440 (Mar. 27, 2008). Individuals particularly sensitive to soot and smog exposure include older adults, people with heart and lung disease, active people, and children. *See* 78 Fed. Reg. 3086, 3088 (Jan. 15, 2013); 62 Fed. Reg. 38,653, 38,668 (July 18, 1997); 73 Fed. Reg. 16,436, 16,440 (Mar. 27, 2008).

3. EPA has also found that plant species are especially sensitive to ozone pollution, which stunts growth, interferes with photosynthesis, and increases susceptibility to disease, weather, and insects. These negative impacts can have a damaging effect on the surrounding ecosystem, including loss of biodiversity, habitat degradation, and water, nutrient, and carbon cycling. Studies have linked long-term ozone exposure to adverse health effects in birds such as inflammation, ruptured blood vessels, lung failure, decreases in egg production and hatching, brood abandonment, and reduced growth.

4. Accordingly, Plaintiffs CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY and CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH bring this action against Defendant ANDREW

1 R. WHEELER, in his official capacity as Administrator for the United States Environmental
2 Protection Agency, to compel him to perform his mandatory duties to ensure health and public
3 welfare protections promised under the Clean Air Act.

4 JURISDICTION

5 5. This case is a Clean Air Act “citizen suit.” Therefore, the Court has jurisdiction
6 over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question jurisdiction) and 42 U.S.C. §
7 7604(a) (Clean Air Act citizen suits).

8 6. An actual controversy exists between the parties. This case does not concern
9 federal taxes, is not a proceeding under 11 U.S.C. §§ 505 of 1146, and does not involve the
10 Tariff Act of 1930.

11 7. Thus, this Court has jurisdiction to order declaratory relief under 28 U.S.C. §§
12 2201. If the Court orders declaratory relief, 28 U.S.C. § 2202 authorizes this Court to issue
13 injunctive relief.

14 NOTICE

15 8. Plaintiffs mailed to EPA by certified mail, return receipt requested, written notice
16 of intent to sue regarding the violations alleged in this Complaint. EPA received the notice letter
17 regarding the claims in the original complaint on or about March 11, 2019. More than sixty days
18 have passed since EPA received this notice letter. EPA has not remedied the violations alleged in
19 this Complaint. Therefore, a present and actual controversy exists between the parties.

20 VENUE

21 9. Defendant EPA resides in this judicial district. This civil action is brought against
22 an officer of the United States acting his official capacity and a substantial part of the events or
23 omissions giving rise to the claims in this case occurred in the Northern District of California.
24 Each claim in this Complaint concerns EPA’s failure to perform mandatory duties with regard to
25 California and Arizona. EPA Region 9, which is responsible for California and Arizona, is
26 headquartered in San Francisco. Thus, events and omissions at issue in this action occurred at
27 EPA’s Region 9 headquarters in San Francisco. Additionally, Plaintiff CENTER FOR
28 ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH is headquartered in Oakland. Accordingly, venue is proper in

1 this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(e).

2 **INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT**

3 10. A substantial part of the events and omissions giving rise to the claims in this case
4 occurred in the County of San Francisco. Accordingly, assignment to the Oakland or San
5 Francisco Division is proper pursuant to Civil L.R. 3-2(c) and (d).

6 **PARTIES**

7 11. Plaintiff CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY is a non-profit 501(c)(3)
8 corporation incorporated in California. The Center for Biological Diversity has approximately
9 69,000 members throughout the United States and the world. The Center for Biological
10 Diversity's mission is to ensure the preservation, protection, and restoration of biodiversity,
11 native species, ecosystems, public lands and waters, and public health through science, policy,
12 and environmental law. Based on the understanding that the health and vigor of human societies
13 and the integrity and wildness of the natural environmental are closely linked, the Center for
14 Biological Diversity is working to secure a future for animals and plants hovering on the brink of
15 extinction, for the ecosystems they need to survive, and for a healthy, livable future for all of us.

16 12. The Center for Biological Diversity and its members include individuals with
17 varying interests in wildlife species and their habitat including scientific, professional,
18 educational, recreational, aesthetic, moral, and spiritual. Further, the Center for Biological
19 Diversity's member enjoy, on an ongoing basis, the biological, scientific, research, education,
20 conservation, recreational, and aesthetic values of the regions inhabited by these species,
21 including the regions at issue in this action. The Center for Biological Diversity's members
22 observe and study native species and their habitat, and derive professional, scientific, education,
23 recreational, aesthetic, inspirational, and other benefits from these activities and have an interest
24 in preserving the possibility of such activities in the future. The Center for Biological Diversity
25 and its members have participated in efforts to protect and preserve natural areas, including the
26 habitat essential to the continued survival of native species, and to address threats to the
27 continued existence of these species, including the threats posed by air pollution and other
28 contaminants.

1 to air pollution which may reasonably be anticipated to endanger public health or welfare.” *Id.* §
2 7408(a)(1)(A).

3 20. There are primary and secondary NAAQS. *Id.* § 7409(a)(1)(A). Primary NAAQS
4 provide for “an adequate margin of safety...to protect the public health,” while secondary
5 NAAQS “protect the public welfare from any known or anticipated adverse effects associated
6 with the presence of such air pollutants in the ambient air.” *Id.* § 7409(b)(1)-(2).

7 21. After the promulgation of new or revised NAAQS, the Administrator determines
8 whether geographic areas are designated nonattainment (areas that do not meet the primary or
9 secondary NAAQS), attainment (areas that meet the primary or secondary NAAQS), or
10 unclassifiable (areas that cannot be classified based on available information). *Id.* §
11 7407(d)(1)(A).

12 22. States are responsible for submitting State Implementation Plans (“SIPs”) and
13 plan revisions that “provide for the implementation, maintenance, and enforcement” of any
14 NAAQS. *Id.* § 7410(a)(1).

15 23. Within six months of a state submitting a SIP, the Administrator must make a
16 completeness finding. If a determination is not made within six months of submittal, the plan
17 submission is deemed administratively complete by operation of law. *Id.* § 7410(k)(1)(B).

18 24. The Administrator is required to take final action to approve, disapprove, or
19 provide a conditional approval or disapproval within twelve months of a completeness finding.
20 *Id.* § 7410(k)(2)-(4).

21 25. Within two years of the Administrator finding that a state failed to submit a
22 required SIP or SIP revision by the required deadline for submittal, the Administrator must
23 promulgate a Federal Implementation Plan. *Id.* § 7410(c).

24 **FACTUAL BACKGROUND**

25 **A. Yolo-Solano Air Quality Management District - 2006 Annual PM_{2.5} Standard**

26 26. On May 16, 2008, EPA issued a final rule establishing the requirements for the
27 2006 PM_{2.5} NAAQS New Source Review permitting rules. 73 Fed. Reg. 28,321 (May 16, 2008).

28 27. The rule requires states to submit SIP revisions that integrate the new source

review requirements for nonattainment areas by December 31, 2014. *See* 81 Fed. Reg. 36,803 (Jun. 8, 2016); 79 Fed. Reg. 31,566 (Jun. 2, 2014).

28. On June 8, 2016, EPA made a finding that California failed to submit new source review SIP revisions for the Yolo-Solano Air Quality Management District. *See* 81 Fed. Reg. 36,803 (Jun. 8, 2016)

29. EPA's finding became effective on July 8, 2016. This initiated a two-year deadline for EPA to promulgate a new source review Federal Implementation Plan ("FIP") for the Yolo-Solano Air Quality Management District. *Id.*

30. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 7410(c), EPA was required to promulgate a FIP no later than July 9, 2018.

31. To date, EPA has failed to promulgate a new source review FIP for the Yolo-Solano Air Quality Management District.

B. Plumas County, California - 2012 Annual PM_{2.5} Standard

32. On January 15, 2013, EPA published a final rule establishing the 2012 PM_{2.5} NAAQS. 78 Fed. Reg. 3,086 (Jan. 15, 2013).

33. Under the 2012 PM_{2.5} NAAQS, the Portola area of Plumas County, California is designated "moderate" nonattainment. The designation required the Northern Sierra Air Quality Management District to submit a SIP, demonstrating how the Portola area will attain the 2012 PM_{2.5} NAAQS. 83 Fed. Reg. 64,774, 64,775 (Dec. 18, 2018).

34. On February 28, 2017, California submitted the Portola Fine Particulate Matter PM_{2.5} Attainment Plan ("Portola Plan"), on behalf of the Northern Sierra Air Quality Management District.

35. The Portola Plan "became complete by operation of law on August 28, 2017." 83 Fed. Reg. 64,774, 64,776 (Dec. 18, 2018).

36. In its proposed rule for the Portola Plan, EPA declined to take "any action at this time on the contingency measures in the Portola Plan." 83 Fed. Reg. 64,774 (Dec. 18, 2018)

37. On March 25, 2019, EPA issued a final rule on the Portola Plan to approve all but the Portola Plan's contingency measures. 84 Fed. Reg. 11,208 (Mar. 29, 2019).

38. EPA has a mandatory duty to take final action on SIP submittals within twelve months of those SIP submittals becoming administratively complete. 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(2)-(4). EPA was required to take final action either approving or disapproving the contingency measures of the Portola Plan by August 28, 2018.

39. To date, EPA has failed to take final action on the contingency measures for the Portola Plan.

C. Phoenix-Mesa, Arizona - 2008 8-Hour Ozone NAAQS

40. EPA finalized the 2008 ozone NAAQS on March 27, 2008. 73 Fed. Reg. 16,436 (Mar. 27, 2008). EPA subsequently published the SIP requirements for implementing the 2008 NAAQs on March 6, 2015. 80 Fed. Reg. 12, 264 (Mar. 6, 2015).

41. Under the revised standards, the Phoenix-Mesa region of Arizona was designated as “moderate” nonattainment. *See* 77 Fed. Reg. 30,160 (May 21, 2012); *see also* 77 Fed. Reg. 30,0088, 30,0096-7 (May 21, 2012). The classification required the state to submit a nonattainment SIP to attain the new 2008 ozone NAAQS. 80 Fed. Reg. 12, 264 (Mar. 6, 2015).

42. EPA has a mandatory duty to take final action on SIP submittals within twelve months of those SIP submittals becoming administratively complete. 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(2)-(4).

43. EPA is in violation of this mandatory duty for the Phoenix-Mesa, Arizona nonattainment areas for the SIP elements listed in Table 1 below.

TABLE 1

Element	SIP Requirement	Submittal Date	Completion Date (no later than)	Final Action Due Date
1	Contingency Measures Volatile Organic Compounds (“VOC”) and Nitrogen Oxides (“NOx”)	12/19/2016	06/19/2017	6/19/2018
2	Reasonable Further Progress (“RFP”) VOC and NOx - Moderate	12/19/2016	6/19/2017	6/19/2018
3	Ozone Attainment Demonstration	12/19/2016	6/19/2017	6/19/2018

4	Reasonable Available Control Technology ("RACT") Non-Control Technology Guidelines ("CTG") VOC for Major Sources	6/22/2017	12/22/2017	12/22/2018
5	RACT NOx for Major Sources	6/22/2017	12/22/2017	12/22/2018
6	RACT VOC CTG Aerospace	6/22/2017	12/22/2017	12/22/2018
7	RACT VOC CTG Auto and Light-Duty Truck Assembly Coatings (2008)	6/22/2017	12/22/2017	12/22/2018
8	RACT VOC CTG Bulk Gasoline Plants	6/22/2017	12/22/2017	12/22/2018
9	RACT VOC CTG Equipment Leaks from Natural Gas/Gasoline Processing Plants	6/22/2017	12/22/2017	12/22/2018
10	RACT VOC CTG Factory Surface Coating of Flat Wood Paneling	6/22/2017	12/22/2017	12/22/2018
11	RACT VOC CTG Fiberglass Boat Manufacturing Materials (2008)	6/22/2017	12/22/2017	12/22/2018
12	RACT VOC CTG Flat Wood Paneling Coatings (2006)	6/22/2017	12/22/2017	12/22/2018
13	RACT VOC CTG Flexible Packaging Printing Materials (2006)	6/22/2017	12/22/2017	12/22/2018
14	RACT VOC CTG Fugitive Emissions from Synthetic Organic Chemical Polymer and Resin Manufacturing Equipment	6/22/2017	12/22/2017	12/22/2018

15	RACT VOC CTG Graphic Arts - Rotogravure and Flexography	6/22/2017	12/22/2017	12/22/2018
16	RACT VOC CTG Industrial Cleaning Solvents (2006)	6/22/2017	12/22/2017	12/22/2018
17	RACT VOC CTG Large Appliance Coatings (2007)	6/22/2017	12/22/2017	12/22/2018
18	RACT VOC CTG Large Petroleum Dry Cleaners	6/22/2017	12/22/2017	12/22/2018
19	RACT VOC CTG Leaks from Gasoline Tank Trucks and Vapor Collection Systems	6/22/2017	12/22/2017	12/22/2018
20	RACT VOC CTG Leaks from Petroleum Refinery Equipment	6/22/2017	12/22/2017	12/22/2018
21	RACT VOC CTG Lithographic Printing Materials and Letterpress Printing Materials (2006)	6/22/2017	12/22/2017	12/22/2018
22	RACT VOC CTG Manufacture of High-Density Polyethylene, Polypropylene, and Polystyrene Resins	6/22/2017	12/22/2017	12/22/2018
23	RACT VOC CTG Manufacture of Pneumatic Rubber Tires	6/22/2017	12/22/2017	12/22/2018
24	RACT VOC CTG Manufacture of Synthesized Pharmaceutical Products	6/22/2017	12/22/2017	12/22/2018

25	RACT VOC CTG Metal Furniture Coatings (2007)	6/22/2017	12/22/2017	12/22/2018
26	RACT VOC CTG Miscellaneous Industrial Adhesives (2008)	6/22/2017	12/22/2017	12/22/2018
27	RACT VOC CTG Miscellaneous Metal Products Coatings (2008)	6/22/2017	12/22/2017	12/22/2018
28	RACT VOC CTG Paper, Film, and Foil Coatings (2007)	6/22/2017	12/22/2017	12/22/2018
29	RACT VOC CTG Petroleum Liquid Storage in External Floating Roof Tanks	6/22/2017	12/22/2017	12/22/2018
30	RACT VOC CTG Plastic Parts Coatings (2008)	6/22/2017	12/22/2017	12/22/2018
31	RACT VOC CTG Refinery Vacuum Producing Systems, Wastewater Separators, and Process Unit Turnarounds	6/22/2017	12/22/2017	12/22/2018
32	RACT VOC CTG SOCMI Air Oxidation Processes	6/22/2017	12/22/2017	12/22/2018
33	RACT VOC CTG SOCMI Distillation and Reactor Processes	6/22/2017	12/22/2017	12/22/2018
34	RACT VOC CTG Shipbuilding/repair	6/22/2017	12/22/2017	12/22/2018
35	RACT VOC CTG Solvent Metal Cleaning	6/22/2017	12/22/2017	12/22/2018
36	RACT VOC CTG Stage I Vapor Control Systems - Gasoline Service Stations	6/22/2017	12/22/2017	12/22/2018

37	RACT VOC CTG Storage of Petroleum Liquids in Fixed Roof Tanks	6/22/2017	12/22/2017	12/22/2018
38	RACT VOC CTG Surface Coating for Insulation of Magnet Wire	6/22/2017	12/22/2017	12/22/2018
39	RACT VOC CTG Surface Coating of Automobiles and Light-Duty Trucks	6/22/2017	12/22/2017	12/22/2018
40	RACT VOC CTG Surface Coating of Cans	6/22/2017	12/22/2017	12/22/2018
41	RACT VOC CTG Surface Coating of Coils	6/22/2017	12/22/2017	12/22/2018
42	RACT VOC CTG Surface Coating of Fabrics	6/22/2017	12/22/2017	12/22/2018
43	RACT VOC CTG Surface Coating of Large Appliances	6/22/2017	12/22/2017	12/22/2018
44	RACT VOC CTG Surface Coating of Metal Furniture	6/22/2017	12/22/2017	12/22/2018
45	RACT VOC CTG Surface Coating of Miscellaneous Metal Parts and Products	6/22/2017	12/22/2017	12/22/2018
46	RACT VOC CTG Surface Coating of Paper	6/22/2017	12/22/2017	12/22/2018
47	RACT VOC CTG Tank Truck Gasoline Loading Terminals	6/22/2017	12/22/2017	12/22/2018
48	RACT VOC CTG Use of Cutback Asphalt	6/22/2017	12/22/2017	12/22/2018
49	RACT VOC CTG Wood Furniture	6/22/2017	12/22/2017	12/22/2018

44. To date, EPA has not taken final action on the SIP elements listed in Table 1.

CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

FIRST CLAIM

(Failure to take promulgate a new source review FIP for Yolo-Solano Air Quality Management District)

45. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all paragraphs listed above.

46. It has been more than two years since EPA made a finding of California's failure to submit a SIP on behalf of the Yolo-Solano Air Quality Management District to implement the nonattainment new source review element for the 2006 PM_{2.5} NAAQs.

47. EPA has not promulgated a FIP to address this nonattainment new source review element.

48. Accordingly, EPA is in violation of its mandatory duty under 42 U.S.C. § 7410(c)(1) with regard to this element.

SECOND CLAIM

(Failure to take final action on the contingency measures for the Portola Plan)

49. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all paragraphs listed above.

50. EPA has a mandatory duty to take final action, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(2)-(4), on the contingency measure provisions in the Portola Plan for Plumas County, California.

51. It has been more than 12 months since the Portola Plan contingency measures for the 2012 PM_{2.5} NAAQS were deemed administratively complete.

52. EPA has not taken final action on the Portola Plan contingency measures for the 2012 PM_{2.5} NAAQS

53. Thus, EPA is in violation of its mandatory duty under 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(2)-(4).

THIRD CLAIM

(Failure to take final action on the 2008 8-hour ozone SIP submittals for Phoenix-Mesa, Arizona)

54. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all paragraphs listed above.

1 55. EPA has a mandatory duty to take final action, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §
2 7410(k)(2)-(4), on the NAAQS nonattainment SIP elements listed in Table 1 for the Phoenix-
3 Mesa region by the dates listed in Table 1.

4 56. It has been more than 12 months since the 2008 ozone NAAQS SIP elements
5 listed in Table 1 were deemed administratively complete.

6 57. EPA has not taken final action on the 2008 ozone NAAQS SIP elements listed in
7 Table 1.

8 58. Thus, EPA is in violation of its mandatory duty under 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(2)-(4).

9 **REQUEST FOR RELIEF**

10 Wherefore, Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court:

11 59. Declare that the Administrator is in violation of the Clean Air Act with regard to
12 his nondiscretionary duty to perform each mandatory duty listed above;

13 60. Issue a mandatory injunction requiring the Administrator to perform his
14 mandatory duties by certain dates;

15 61. Retain jurisdiction of this matter for purposes of enforcing and effectuating the
16 Court's order;

17 62. Grant Plaintiffs their reasonable costs of litigation, including attorneys' and expert
18 fees; and

19 63. Grant such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

20 ///

21 ///

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 Dated: May 22, 2019

2
3 Respectfully submitted,

4 /s/ Jonathan Evans

5 JONATHAN EVANS (Cal. Bar # 247376)
6 CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY
7 1212 Broadway, Suite 800
8 Oakland, CA 94612
9 Tel: (510) 844-7118
10 Email: jevans@biologicaldiversity.org

11 /s/ Omonigho Oiyemhonlan

12 OMONIGHO OIYEMHONLAN(student appearing
13 under court-approved supervision)
14 CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY
15 1212 Broadway, Suite 800
16 Oakland, CA 94612
17 Tel: (510) 844-7154
18 Email: ooiyemhonlan@biologicaldiversity.org